

Court File No. IMM-2131-96

FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA TRIAL DIVISION

BETWEEN:

5

10

15

20

25

30

NABIL GUIRGAS

Applicant

- and -

MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Respondent

BEFORE:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JEROME, A.C.J.

HELD AT:

The Federal Court, 330 University Avenue,

Toronto, Ontario.

DATE:

August 20th, 1997.

REGISTRAR:

C. CHIOCCHIO

ORAL REASONS FOR DECISION

APPEARANCES:

MS. V. RUSSELL

for the Applicant

G. FRIDAY, ESQ.

for the Respondent

CERTIFIED REPORTERS



HIS LORDSHIP: Thanks Ms. Russell. I am not going to call on the representative for the Minister. I don't accept the submissions on behalf of the Applicant. The application therefore will be denied and here are my reasons.

This is a classis case of there being a basis for the Board to go either way. There is evidence to support the Claimant's submissions or his claim, and equally there is evidence and law to support the Minister's position. For example, I believe that the analysis of the panel as stated in its reasons is comprehensive enough. First of all, they approached the issue of whether the Claimant is religious and is an active high profile person in the Coptic Christian faith, and they conclude that he is and accept his evidence in that respect.

10

15

20

25

30

The second issue they faced then is, does he have a well founded fear of persecution? Now, counsel at that hearing, I believe, and today, has set out an excellent recital of those experiences which would tend to support that the subjective fear of the Claimant is well founded and has some objective bases. However, at the same time it's a little greater burden for someone who indicates that they are not being persecuted in any way or harassed by the State but rather by extremists, and this is one such case,

The difficulty is not with the Egyptian authorities or government but with Islamic Fundamentalists,



and indeed the government appears to be troubled to an even greater extent by the same group and there is indication that these Islamic Fundamentalists are anxious to overthrow the clerical government and try to replace it within Egypt with a strict Islamic regime and therefore they decry not just the Claimant and his family or their people, but even more the government.

10

15

20

25

30

The question then becomes, is there a likelihood that this man when he returns to Egypt would be the butt of these kind of attacks, and the analysis at page 3 I think is quite comprehensive and quite accurate, and moreover they note on the other side of the coin that there are five million Coptic Christians in Egypt.

The Claimant's wife and family have continued to live there to this day without interference from these extremists. That's a very serious balancing factor in my mind, and when I questioned counsel about it a moment ago she was good enough to acknowledge that there is no indication that they have been the butt of the same kind of hostility as this Claimant had experienced.

His experiences are ten years old and that is another balancing factor that I suppose is one of those cases where if he goes back and opens his store opposite a mosque he might indeed invite the same kind of treatment. If he were to go to another centre where his wife and family lives



he might not experience that kind of treatment.

10

15

20

25

30

There is also indication that when he did receive that kind of treatment from the fundamentalists that he reported that to the police and the police acted. Whether they made arrests or not they certainly found the people who were identified by the Claimant, and therefore there is evidence that both President Mubarak intends to combat this kind of extremism and the police are not only able to act but have acted in the past.

Therefore the conclusion that this Claimant,
Nabil Guirgas, is not a convention refugee is well supported.

It's one of these cases that the panel might have gone the other way, but certainly there is more than ample evidence to justify their conclusion and therefore I do not intend to set it aside by judicial review or the intervention of the Court this morning.

I will endorse today that for reasons given orally the application is denied and that brief written reasons will be filed and they will be filed when I edit the transcript of my reasons this morning.

Thank you.

CERTIFIED CORRECT,

Lennox T. Brown, F.I.P.S.

Verbatim Reporter