Court File No. IMM-4445-97

# IN THE FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA

### TRIAL DIVISION

## BETWEEN:

YUAN YUN WU (also known as QUING YUN LIU)

Applicant

10

15

20

- and -

THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Respondent

AND BETWEEN:

Court File No. IMM-4446-97

HONG WEI (also known as PING FANG LI)

- and -

THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

ORAL REASONS BY THE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE JEROME

25

HELD AT:

Federal Court of Canada

Toronto, Ontario

DATE:

Oct. 27, 1997

30

**APPEARANCES:** 

Peter Wuebbolt

Jeremiah Eastman

for the Applicants for the Respondents

--- Upon commencing at 2:58 p.m.

#### EXCERPT OF REASONS FOR JUDGMENT

5

THE COURT: I am sorry, Mr. Wuebbolt, I cannot be more helpful to the applicants in this case. The reasons seem, to me, to be very basic and fundamental. It is these people -- I do not take any adverse inference from the fact that they, you know, chose to falsify their identity coming into the country or leaving China.

15

10

Indeed, more often, the more danger that people feel or the more threatened they feel, the bizarre could be the arrangements that they use to come to Canada. That is not their problem and not the hurdle that you have to get over.

20

It is simply this, that, in essence, poor people from all around the world, when they show up at our borders, still have the obligation of showing why they should be admitted to Canada. And unless their situation is unusual in such a way as to come within the Refugee guidelines, international conditions for Refugee status, then they really have to take their turn in line and wait their turn as an ordinary applicant.

25

30

In this case, these people, rather than do



5

10

15

20

25

30

that, wanted to have their Refugee status determined, and it is hard to fault the Immigration people here. counsel, were good enough to consider that you are not going to be critical of their conduct, plus they turned the matter over to, as I believe they are required to do, senior immigration officer, Mr. McNamara, reviewed all of the events, and came to the conclusion that these people did not have or appear sufficient basis to warrant that sort of treatment and to pass it on to the Immigration Board, nor did he believe, if he had let them in the country, that they would report for removal if removed.

So, it is not for me to decide whether he was justified in reaching those conclusions, only whether he considered relevant evidence and came to a conclusion that was reasonable on those facts. And, therefore, I see no error in his interpretation of the law or his interpretation of the evidence, and, accordingly, your application for intervention is dismissed.

I will edit the transcript of my reasons and file them pursuant to section 51 of the <u>Federal Court Act</u>. Thank you. And I will make an endorsement to that effect, that it is dismissed from the bench, and brief written reasons will follow.

--- Upon adjourning at 3:51 p.m.

5

CERTIFIED CORRECT

10

Per:

3

Sarah Weinstein Court Reporter

15

20

25

30

# FEDERAL COURT OF CANADA TRIAL DIVISION

# NAMES OF SOLICITORS AND SOLICITORS ON THE RECORD

COURT FILE NO.:

IMM-4445-97

STYLE OF CAUSE:

YUAN YUN WU (aka QING YUN LIU)

V.

THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

COURT FILE NO.:

IMM-4446-97

STYLE OF CAUSE:

HONG WEI (aka PING FANG LI)

V.

THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

PLACE OF HEARING:

TORONTO

DATE OF HEARING:

OCTOBER 27, 1997

REASONS FOR ORDER OF: THE HONOURABLE ASSOCIATE CHIEF JUSTICE

DATED:

**NOVEMBER 20, 1997** 

**APPEARANCES:** 

Mr. Peter Wuebbolt

FOR THE APPLICANTS

Mr. Jeremiah Eastman

FOR THE RESPONDENT

SOLICITORS ON THE RECORD:

Mr. Peter Wuebbolt

FOR THE APPLICANTS

Toronto, Ontario

Mr. George Thomson

FOR THE RESPONDENT

-Deputy Attorney General of Canada