Federal Court



#### Cour fédérale

Date: 20101004

**Docket: IMM-1071-10** 

**Citation: 2010 FC 971** 

### [UNREVISED CERTIFIED ENGLISH TRANSLATION]

Ottawa, Ontario, October 4, 2010

**PRESENT:** The Honourable Mr. Justice Pinard

**BETWEEN:** 

FERENC LAKATOS,
FERENCNE LAKATOS and
SANDOS LAKATOS

**Applicants** 

and

# THE MINISTER OF CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

Respondent

#### REASONS FOR JUDGMENT AND JUDGMENT

[1] This is an application for judicial review of a decision rendered on January 20, 2010, by a pre-removal risk assessment officer (PRRA officer). The application was submitted under subsection 72(1) of the *Immigration and Refugee Protection Act*, S.C. 2001, c. 27, by Ferenc Lakatos, Ferencné Lakatos and Sandos Lakatos (the applicants). The PRRA officer found that the

applicants were not subject to a danger of torture or to a risk of cruel or unusual treatment or punishment or to a risk to their lives if they were to be removed to their country of nationality.

- [2] The applicants are citizens of Hungary of Roma ethnicity.
- [3] On March 9, 2010, after the decision by the PRRA officer and before their application for leave and judicial review of the decision could be considered, the applicants left Canada for Hungary. These facts were admitted by counsel for the applicants.
- [4] Consequently, I am forced to agree with the respondent that this application for judicial review has become moot and must therefore be dismissed. In so doing, I rely on the decision of the Federal Court of Appeal in *Perez v. The Minister of Citizenship and Immigration*, 2009 FCA 171, which affirmed the decision of Justice Luc Martineau (2008 FC 663), who, being satisfied that the applicant had left Canada before the application for judicial review of the PRRA decision could be heard by the Court, dismissed the application on the ground that it was moot.
- [5] In *Villalobo v. The Minister of Citizenship and Immigration*, 2009 FC 773, Justice Michael Kelen, relying on *Perez*, above, made the same finding (see also the decision of my colleague, James Russell, in *Rana v. The Minister of Citizenship and Immigration*, 2010 FC 36).
- [6] Accordingly, the application for judicial review is dismissed.

## **JUDGMENT**

	The application for judicial review	of the decision	rendered on	January 20,	2010, by a
PRRA	officer is dismissed.				

"Yvon Pinard"
 Judge

Certified true translation Catherine Jones, Translator

#### **FEDERAL COURT**

#### **SOLICITORS OF RECORD**

**DOCKET:** IMM-1071-10

**STYLE OF CAUSE:** FERENC LAKATOS, FERENCNE LAKATOS and

SANDOS LAKATOS v. THE MINISTER OF

CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION

PLACE OF HEARING: Montréal, Quebec

**DATE OF HEARING:** September 15, 2010

**REASONS FOR JUDGMENT** 

**AND JUDGMENT:** Pinard J.

**DATED:** October 4, 2010

**APPEARANCES**:

Patrizia Ruscio FOR THE APPLICANTS

Thi My Dung Tran FOR THE RESPONDENT

**SOLICITORS OF RECORD:** 

Patrizia Ruscio FOR THE APPLICANTS

Montréal, Quebec

Myles J. Kirvan FOR THE RESPONDENT

Deputy Attorney General of Canada